

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/815,979	03/22/2001	Gary de Jong	24601-416	7635
20985	7590 12/09/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC P.O. BOX 102	HARDSON, PC		SULLIVAN,	DANIEL M
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT .	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1636	-
			DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/815,979	DE JONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Daniel M. Sullivan	1636		

Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit	
,	Daniel M. Sullivan	1636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress
The amendment document filed on is considered 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	e markings.	BE NON-COMPL	IANT:
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 3B. Other	7 CFR 1.72.		
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identifi "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 B. The practice of submitting proposed of showing amended figures, without m C. Other 	CFR 1.121(d). drawing correction has been elimin	nated. Replacem	ent drawings
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include ☐ C. Each claim has not been provided wire of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following (Previously presented), (New), (Not expected) ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper ☒ E. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	the text of all pending claims (incleth the proper status identifier, and lote: the status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Currentered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indivist be indicated aft rently amended), (awn-currently ame	vidual status er its claim (Canceled), ended).

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf.

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The amendment does not properly indicate all of the changes made relative to the previously examined claims (i.e., filed 18 March 2005). For example, claim 11, which previously depended from claim 1, has been amended to depend from claim 2. However, the markings do not indicate that this change has been made in accordance with the requirements of Rule 1.121. Therefore, the amendment is non-compliant. Applicant must submit a new substitute claim listing which clearly indicates all of the changes made relative to the claims filed 18 March 2005.